## Coordination Polytubes with the Affinity for Guest Inclusion
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Despite numerous studies on porous coordination polymers, there still remains difficulty in predicting cavities in the polymer frameworks because of the frequent occurrence of interpenetration of the networks. ${ }^{1,2}$ This problem can be, in principle, solved by designing organic ligands which disfavor the interpenetration. In this regard, the use of a panel-like ligand such as $\mathbf{1}$ is promising because its coordination assembly is expected to give polytube structures which have no possibility of interpenetration. Here we show that, upon treatment with a transition metal (CuI), ligand 1 assembles into polytube structures that accommodate organic guests in the tubular cavities. Interestingly, the polytube structures are templated by the guest molecules; that is, two polytubes possessing different connectivities with the guest-occupied volumes of $\sim 32$ and $48 \%$, respectively, have been obtained by using different organic guests. Ligand $\mathbf{1}$ has been recently shown to assemble into a molecular tube structure in solution with convergent (en) $\mathrm{Pd}^{2+}$ building block. ${ }^{3}$


As a tetravalent and divergent building block, $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}$ component was employed in this study. ${ }^{4}$ When ligand $\mathbf{1}$ was treated with CuI in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ solution, single crystals of the complex [1•

[^0]$\left.\left(\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}\right)\right] \cdot 2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2)$ were formed. ${ }^{5}$ The crystal structure of $\mathbf{2}$ revealed a 2D-polytube structure by the interconnection of the ligand 1 with $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}$ units. The conformation of ligand $\mathbf{1}$ in the network is helicated with interplanar angles, between adjacent pyridine rings, of $5.7^{\circ}, 46.3^{\circ}$, and $44.9^{\circ}$. As a result, the polytube structure is more complicated than those expected from coplanar conformation. Three of the binding sites from each unit point inward, while the remainder point outward from the tube (Figure 1a). Each tube is self-assembled by six units of $\mathbf{1}$ (24 binding sites) and six units of $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}$ ( 24 binding sites). In effect, $18 \mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}$ bonds are engaged in assembling one tube. The remaining 12 binding sites, six each from $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$, propagate the tube structure in two dimensions (Figure 1b). ${ }^{6}$ The approximate diameter and length of the tube are 8 and $7 \AA$, respectively. The 2D layers pack on each other in the crystallographic $a b$-plane such that there is a channel formation along $c$-axis. In 2, the guest molecules occupied $32 \%$ of the crystal volume. ${ }^{7}$

Interestingly, another polytube structure appeared when $\mathbf{1}$ was complexed with CuI in the presence of aromatic guests such as nitrobenzene $\left(\mathrm{G}_{1}\right)$ and cyanobenzene $\left(\mathrm{G}_{2}\right)$. Thus, single crystals of the complexes $\left[\mathbf{1} \cdot\left(\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}\right)\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{G}_{1}(\mathbf{3})$ and $\left[\mathbf{1} \cdot\left(\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}\right)\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{G}_{2}(\mathbf{4})$ were obtained by layering the acetonitrile solution of CuI on the corresponding guest solution of $\mathbf{1} .{ }^{8}$ The X-ray analysis showed that these polytubes accommodated relatively large guest molecules which induced a 3D-network. The guest molecules occupy $48 \%$ of the crystal volume (Figure 2a).

In both 3 and $\mathbf{4}$, each polytube is comprised of a 1D-helical network along the crystallographic $a$-axis with the pitch length of $14 \AA$ and with an approximate diameter of $10 \AA$ (Figure 2b). These helices are formed by the interconnection of $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}$ units with the terminal and middle pyridines of $\mathbf{1}$ alternately. As a result each tube is formed by half of the binding sites, while the other half extends this tube network in the crystallographic $b c$-plane. The $-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ group of nitrobenzene in $\mathbf{3}$ is disordered over the two sites with 0.5 occupancy, whereas the cyanobenzene in 4 has been found without disorder in a noncentrosymmetric space group. Importantly, although the network is centrosymmetric, the arrangement of the poles of cyanobenzenes in one direction results in a non-centrosymmetric space group. The cyanobenzenes form a dimer via $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}: 2.383 \AA \AA ; \mathrm{C} \cdots \mathrm{N} ; 3.197 \AA \AA_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}\right.$ $146.1^{\circ}$ ) hydrogen bonds, which is further sandwiched between two moieties of $\mathbf{1}$ via aromatic face-face interactions. ${ }^{9}$ The

[^1]

Figure 1. (a) Representation of one tube of the 2D-polytube in crystal structure of 2. Each ligand is colored differently (blue $=\mathrm{Cu}$ and gray $=\mathrm{N}$ ) and I atoms are removed for the sake of clarity. Please note the helical geometry of $\mathbf{1}$. (b) Top view of the extended 2D-polytube in the crystal structure of 2. Guest molecules presented in ball mode and cylinder mode (disordered). The top and bottom rims of the framework colored differently and Cu atoms are in turquoise.


Figure 2. (a) Top view of the space filling drawing of the 3D-polytube in the crystal structure of 4 (blue $=\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{N}$; magenta $=\mathrm{I}$; gray $=\mathrm{C}$; and white $=H$ ). The channels are occupied by guest molecule. (b) Representation of the polytube that observed in the crystal structure of 4. Each ligand is colored differently for the sake of clarity (light orange $=\mathrm{Cu}$, blue $=\mathrm{N}$, and magenta $=\mathrm{I}$ ). The guest molecules are represented in solid mode. Please note the formation of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ dimer of the guest molecules and panel like geometry of $\mathbf{1}$.
formation of these dimers and the effective $\pi-\pi$ interactions with 1 by the guest molecules seems to be crucial factors for inducing the 3D-network, even in the presence of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. For example, the presence of aniline, which cannot form dimers similar to the above guests, failed to induce a 3D-polytube and exclusively resulted in the single crystals of 2.

The thermogravimetric analysis on the complex 4 indicated that the guest was liberated at $145^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(23 \%$ weight loss $)$ and the network sustained its integrity up to $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The integrity of the network after guest removal was confirmed by the FT-IR and powder X-ray: FT-IR spectrum of the guest removed sample was identical with that of the original sample except the absence of the gust absorption bands and the powder X-ray showed strong diffraction pattern even after removal of the guest molecule. ${ }^{1 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}$


Figure 3. The basic building blocks of 2 (left) and 4 (right).
Some interesting features are found by comparing the structures of the 2D-network (2) and the 3D-network (3 or 4). Although the network topologies are completely different, the coordination environment of the basic building blocks of 2 and $\mathbf{3}$ or $\mathbf{4}$ is the same (Figure 3). In the building block of 3D-network the binding sites of $\mathbf{1}$ are evenly distributed (six on each side) on both sides of $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ plane. In contrast, binding sites in 2D-network are distributed unevenly: three binding sites on one side and nine binding sites on the other side of $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ plane. In both complexes, the Cu atoms have distorted tetrahedral coordination geometry. The $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}$ unit is noncoplanar in 2 with the $\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{Cu}$ torsion angle of $30^{\circ}$, whereas it is coplanar in 3 and 4 with the $\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{Cu}-$ $\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{Cu}$ torsion angle of $0^{\circ}$. The $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cu}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{I}$ distances ranges between 2.56 and $2.64 \AA$ and 2.64 and $2.70 \AA$, respectively.
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